

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41522-2019

Date of Decision: 15.10.2019

Vishal Singh Rana

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ram Kumar Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. P.P. U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Ashwani K. Vaibhnav, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Though the petitioner is stated to have attacked the complainant and his companion along with other co-accused, all of whom inflicted serious injuries on those persons (as per the FIR), and as a matter of fact this Court was not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, however, learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that only one of the accused, i.e. Hunny Bhardwaj, actually chased the seriously injured person all the way to hospital and that the attribution to the petitioner is only one of causing a blunt weapon injury, with him having remained in custody for 01 month and 24 days and with the '*challan*' still not presented.

He further submits that with no further recovery to be made from the petitioner, he deserves the concession of being released on bail.

Learned counsel for the complainant, on the other hand, submits that the petitioner having been one of those persons who undoubtedly attacked the

complainant party and the entire group having thereby caused serious injuries, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the U.T., Chandigarh, while also opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, however, could not deny that no further recovery is to be made from the petitioner and that it was not he who had chased one of the persons injured on the way to the hospital, with a knife.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual culpability of the petitioner as regards the commission of crime, especially with the provisions of Sections 147, 148 and 149 of the IPC also having been invoked as regards the allegations against all the accused, however, the fact being that the trial is likely to take long as yet, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

October 15, 2019

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes
No.