

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.27513 of 2019

Date of Decision: September 25, 2019

Sunita Devi & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. /Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to consider and decide the representation dated 19.06.2019 (Annexure P-7), within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to decide the representation dated 19.06.2019 (Annexure P-7) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioners is to be accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to them, in accordance with law and if the claim of the petitioners is not to be accepted, then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioners forthwith.

It is made clear that in case the representation is not decided within two months, there shall be costs of ₹ 25,000/- to be paid to the petitioners.

September 25, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No