

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-27821-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:26.09.2019**

Madhu Kumari

... Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Hitender Kansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is stated to be aged 32 years.

She seeks a mandamus to direct the official respondents to protect her life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.5 to 9.

Private respondents are none other than the parents, brothers and real sister of the petitioner.

Counsel submits that the petitioner is well educated and is currently residing in a PG Accommodation in Sector-22, Chandigarh and is employed as a Assistant Executive (Commercial) with a concern, namely, AIMIL Limited. It is urged that the family members are forcing the petitioner to marry against her wishes and the petitioner having resisted, she has been threatened. As per averments made in the petition, petitioner apprehends that she may be bodily harmed.

Without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition in terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the S.H.O.,

Sector 17, Chandigarh confined to the aspect of threat and danger to her life and liberty.

In the eventuality of any such application being preferred, respondent No.3 would be obligated to look into the matter and to thereafter take steps as deemed fit and warranted in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

26.09.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |