

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-27501-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:25.09.2019**

Rajpal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard.

Pleadings on record are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Rather averments made in the petition would indicate that there is a dispute inter se the petitioner and private respondent No.5 as regards some passage/street. Instant petition can only be seen as a fallout of such dispute.

In view of the above and while declining to interfere in the instant writ petition, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail of his alternate remedies, if so advised, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

25.09.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No