

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41402 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision :01.10.2019

Narender

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : Mr.Prateek Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 91 dated 20.2.2019 registered under Sections 379-B/506 and 34 IPC and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of Arms Act at Police Station DLF Phase III, Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner while drawing attention of the Court to the First Information Report has submitted that as per the case of the prosecution, first informant had went to take tea and when he came back he did not find the Verna car. However, on the next day i.e 21.2.2019 a supplementary statement was recorded in which the first informant stated that three persons pushed him down, snatched his mobile phone and ran away with the vehicle. He further submits that there are two different versions given by the first informant.

On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from

ASI Vikram Singh has informed that the petitioner has refused test identification parade.

Investigations are complete. Trial of the case has started. Conclusion of the trial is likely to take time. As per the information supplied, petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the aforesaid facts, it is considered appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Petition stands allowed.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

01.10.2019
rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No