

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 41076 of 2019  
Date of Decision:-27.09.2019**

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present:- Ms. Satwant Mehta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Balbir Singh Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

**MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)**

Petitioner-Satnam Singh has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No. 0030 dated 15.06.2019, under Sections 452, 326, 323, 324, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Verowal, District Tarn Taran. The petitioner is in custody since his arrest on 28.07.2019.

The FIR was registered on the statement of complainant Gurmej Singh, wherein it was mentioned that he was a daily wager and Satnam Singh (petitioner) obstructed him to raise a wall around his (complainant's) house. The said issue was resolved by the Panchayat of the village and the matter was got compromised. On 01.6.2019 at about

11/12:00 at noon when complainant was getting the wall constructed, then Satnam Singh (petitioner) s/o Amrik Singh armed with kirpan, alongwith Satnam Singh s/o Dilbagh Singh armed with Dattar, Kulwinder Kaur w/o Satnam Singh armed with wooden log, Sarabjit Kaur w/o Gurdev Singh armed with daang, Harpreet Singh s/o Malkit Singh armed with handle of hand-pump, Hardeep Singh s/o Jagir Singh armed with dattar and Jagjit Singh s/o Gurdit Singh empty handed, came and after entering into the house of complainant they gave beatings and caused injuries to the complainant with their respective weapons. In the incident, the accused persons also caused injuries to wife, son and nephew of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the present case there is a delay of about fifteen days in lodging the FIR as the incident took place on 01.6.2019 but the FIR was registered on 15.6.2019. She submits that except the present petitioner rest of the accused persons have already been granted concession of bail. She has placed reliance upon the compromise allegedly entered into between the parties, and copy of the same is placed on record as Annexure P-2. She submits that the trial will take considerable time, therefore, further custody of the petitioner may not be justified.

On the other hand, the prayer is opposed by learned State counsel, who is assisted by ASI Avtar Singh. However, he does not dispute that the compromise has been effected between the parties.

Considering the above and the fact that the parties have compromised the matter, further detention of the petitioner may not be

justified. Therefore, without meaning any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court Tarn Taran.

The petition is allowed.

September 27, 2019  
Vijay Asija

( MANOJ BAJAJ )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes / No  
Yes / No