

Sr. No.204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41430-2019

Date of decision:19.10.2019

Parmod and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.K.Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, Advocate
for the victim.

Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate
for the victim.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J(Oral)

Present petition has been filed for seeking bail pending trial in FIR No.241, dated 31.08.2019 for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 325, 341, 365, 506 of IPC and Section 3(1)(r) and 3(1)(s) of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Hansi, District Hisar.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the case against the petitioners had occurred only due to misunderstanding with the complainant. Hence, realising this fact, even the complainant has settled the dispute amicably with the petitioners. The complainant has even sworn an affidavit to the effect that the petitioners had not committed any offence.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, Advocate, has put in appearance on

behalf of the other persons claiming to be victim and has stoutly opposed the bail application.

State counsel, being instructed by ASI Daulu Ram, submits that the Police also have come to know about the fact regarding the settlement of the dispute by the parties.

In view of the above, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioners are granted the concession of bail pending trial. They be released on bail pending trial subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

19th October, 2019

Shivani Kaushik

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable *Yes/No*