

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-8238-2017
Decided on : 12.07.2019

Tarlochan Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Veerpal Kaur & anr.

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. I.P.S.Doabia, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J.

The appellant is aggrieved only by that part of the order dated 15.03.2017 whereby the Collector was asked to recover Rs.26,510/- as court fee as first charge over the suit property of the appellant.

While relying upon the judgment of Division Bench of this Court passed in ***Balwinder Singh vs. Sinderpal Kaur and another (FAO No.2787 of 2017)*** decided on 17.05.2019, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the order passed by the Court below is erroneous and needs to be set aside. He further submits that the parties have compromised the matter having decided to part ways.

Learned State counsel states that the judgment passed in ***Balwinder Singh's case(supra)*** squarely covers the facts of the instant case.

In the circumstances, the impugned part of the judgment

dated 15.03.2017 whereby the appellant has been asked to pay the Court fee is set aside. Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

12.07.2019
sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No