

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

224

FAO No.8182 of 2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 22.01.2019

Pawan Kumar

.....Appellant

Vs.

Golden @ Goldy

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: - Mr. N. K. Malhotra, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Chanchal K. Singla, Advocate for the respondent.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This appeal has arisen from the judgment and decree dated 30.08.2017 passed by the learned Additional Principal Judge (Family Court), Faridabad by which petition filed by the respondent-wife under Section 13 (1) (i-a) (II) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 ('Act' - for short) was allowed.

At the time of preliminary hearing, notice was issued to the respondent in the application for condonation of delay and the appellant was also directed to bring a draft of ₹25,000/- to be paid to the respondent-wife towards interim litigation expenses. It was also mentioned that the appellant would pay the entire maintenance pendente lite fixed by the learned lower Court. Thereafter the case has been adjourned twice for the compliance of the order dated 15.12.2017 but today learned counsel for the appellant has

informed us that despite his repeated messages to the appellant for the purpose of payment of maintenance pendente lite and litigation expenses, he has not received any information from him.

In view of the aforesaid, the appellant does not have a right to be heard on merits of the case.

Therefore, the present appeal is hereby dismissed.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

22.01.2019
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking /reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No