

216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40852 of 2019

Date of decision: October 23, 2019

Sandeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.81 dated 18.08.2019, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Ramdas, District Amritsar.

On 23.09.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that allegations are primarily against coaccused Surjit Singh, who happens to be the husband of the petitioner and he has already been arrested. Further contends that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and she is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 23.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join

the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of her arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail till the next date of hearing on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Lovepreet S. Bajwa, has stated that in terms of order dated 23.09.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 23.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 23, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No