

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40928 -2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision :01.10.2019

Ronak Ali

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : Ms. Sunita Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Khalid, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(ORAL)

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail.

Office has listed the petition with the following note:-

“The above said petition has been filed by
Sh. Khalid & Sh. Mohammad Arshad Advocate under
Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the
petitioner in case FIR No.473 dated 2.11.2012, u/s 279,
336, 120B of IPC & 32(2) PDPP Act Registered at P.S.
Dharuhera, District Rewari.

In this regard, it is submitted that in the
present case, the Ld. Counsel has mentioned in the
headnote that it is the first anticipatory bail filed by the
petitioner but on the perusal of the matching report it
reveals that earlier anticipatory bail on behalf of present
petitioner bearing no. CRM-M-20261 of 2014 has been
dismissed vide order dated 9.6.2014 passed by this

Hon'ble Court, therefore, the present petition is the subsequent/2nd anticipatory bail of the petitioner filed in this Hon'ble Court.

Since it has been directed by the authorities not to return/de-register the case to the Ld. Counsel and same is to be placed before the Hon'ble Bench for kind notice.

This fact may kindly be brought to the kind notice of the Hon'ble Bench at the time of hearing.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that she may be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Accordingly, present petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, the practice of concealing facts from the Court is strongly deprecated and the petitioner is warned to be careful in future.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

01.10.2019

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No