

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11310 of 2012

Date of Decision:4.12.2019

Sarika Vats

...Petitioner

Versus

Indian Oil Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr.Ashish Kapoor, Advocate
for respondent No.1 to 3

None for respondent No.4

Mr.Amit Jhanji, Advocate
for respondent No.5

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL):

Neither the petitioner appears nor he is represented by
counsel.

Mr.Kapoor, appearing for respondents No.1 to 3-Indian Oil Corporation submits that the petition has been rendered infructuous. He explains that the issue involved regarding the dealership was considered in litigation at the hands of respondent No.5-Minakshi Yadav, who had filed a writ petition when her Letter of Intent was sought to be cancelled. The writ petition bearing CWP No.13911 of 2012 was allowed on 1.10.2013 by this Court.

Feeling aggrieved against the order, the present petitioner-Sarita Vats filed Letters Patent Appeal No.240 of 2014 which was dismissed on 12.2.2014 by a detailed order passed by this Court.

Mr.Kapoor submits that the issue raised by the petitioner-Sarika Vats are all pleas covered on merits by the Letters Patent Bench while dismissing the appeal. However, while dismissing the appeal, the appellate Bench clarified that the conclusions arrived at in the appeal are confined and restricted to the case of Sarika Vats, as the petition filed by her i.e. CWP No.11310 of 2012 was pending and was to be decided independently. It is that petition which is on Board today before this Court.

I have perused the orders in appeal and have reason to believe that Mr.Kapoor's submission is by and large correct. On facts there can be no mistake. The facts of the two are intertwined.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed as infructuous. However, in case the petitioner has any special thing to say which may lead to recall of this order, she is at liberty to make an application before this Court, at any time, for hearing on merits.

04.12.2019

neenu

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No.
Yes/No