

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-40894-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 21.11.2019

SATPAL SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Silvi Katoch, Advocate for

Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in Criminal Complaint No. COMI 108 of 2014 instituted on 03.06.2014, under Sections 323, 342, 324, 332, 333, 506, 452 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, besides, Sections 3 (1) (x) and 3 (2) (viii) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 ('SC&ST Act' - for short), titled as 'Pritam Singh v. Satpal Singh and another' pending in the Court of JMIC, Samana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the criminal complaint is a counter-blast to the FIR registered against the son of the complainant wherein the petitioner, who is a police officer, was assisting the investigating officer in the proceedings in that case. He also contends that the allegations against the petitioner prima facie do not constitute an offence under the SC&ST Act. He further contends that

six other cases have also been registered against the son of the complainant.

This Court, by the order dated 23.09.2019, had directed the petitioner to appear before the trial Court and on his doing so, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has been granted ad interim bail on 10.10.2019. He has furnished a copy of the order dated 10.10.2019 which is taken on record.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having appeared before the trial Court, the order dated 23.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

21.11.2019.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No