

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.27404 of 2019

Date of decision : 24.09.2019

Jagdeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present : Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similarly situated person filed ***CWP No.6008 of 2012*** titled as ***Bodh Raj Hastir Vs. State of Punjab*** and others, which was disposed of vide order dated 18.07.2012 with the direction to the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar to determine the petitioner's status in the light of the observation made in the writ petition, within a period of four months. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the present petitioner has also filed representation dated 16.07.2019 (Annexure P-16) but no action has been taken thereupon so far and said representation is still pending. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the same directions as issued by this Court in aforesaid writ petition *i.e.* to take action on the pending representation within some reasonable time, are issued in the present writ petition.

Accordingly, by considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 *i.e.* Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana to consider the pending representation dated 16.07.2019 (Annexure P-16) and take necessary action in accordance with the law/policy as well as in view of the action taken in the cases of similarly situated person, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Dated : 24.09.2019
sunil yadav

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No