

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.3356 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.04.2019**

Abhimanyu Kumar (minor) through Smt. Kavita Vaish wife of Sh. Sujesh
Kumar, being mother and natural guardianAppellant(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Sanjay Jain, Advocate
Mr. Jasmer Chand, Advocate
Mr. Bhag Singh, Advocate
Mr. Upender Prashar, Advocate
Mr. A.K. Jain, Advocate
Mr. Mohit Aneja, Advocate
Mr. Gopal Krishan Gupta, Advocate
Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate
Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Advocate for the landowners.

Mr. Sudeep Mahajan, Addl. A.G. Haryana,
Ms. Vibha Tiwari, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate for Marketing Board.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J.

The appeal is allowed.

For the reasons, see detailed judgment of even date passed in
RFA No.7876 of 2011 titled as “**Basant Singh Vs. State of Haryana &
another**”, the relief clause of which reads as under:

“(1) For the first notification dated 10.07.2007, the market value
is assessed @ Rs.37,87,000/- per acre along with all statutory
benefits.

(2) For the second notification dated 26.03.2008, the market
value is assessed @ Rs.31,50,000/- per acre along with all statutory
benefits.”

26.04.2019
pvd

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No