

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-27140-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:20.09.2019**

Ramandeep Singh @ Rami Randhawa & another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others ... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate for the petitioners.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners has been heard at length and pleadings on record have been perused.

In the considered view of this Court, the instant writ petition is wholly misconceived.

Even though, a mandamus is sought for the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.5 to 7, yet the averments made in the writ petition are utterly vague and wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Rather what prima facie comes forth is that the petitioners herein and the private respondents had a slinging match on social media and have been issuing threats to each other.

The instant writ petition would be seen as a fallout of a personal rivalry.

No intervention in the matter is called for.

Petition is dismissed.

20.09.2019

harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)

JUDGE

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No