

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-27200-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.09.2019

Anju

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that she will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent Nos.2 & 3, to consider the representation dated 09.09.2019 (Annexure P-3) keeping in view order dated 12.09.2019 (Annexure P-4), within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent Nos.2 & 3, to consider the representation dated 09.09.2019 (Annexure P-3) keeping in view order dated 12.09.2019 (Annexure P-4), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and shall pass a speaking order thereon after affording opportunity of hearing to petitioner and taking into consideration judicial precedents as well, failing which, they shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to petitioner.

This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner to

run from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

23.09.2019
Yogesh Sharma

(**AMIT RAWAL**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No