

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.27105 of 2019

Date of Decision: September 23, 2019

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present writ petition is for issuance a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the charge sheet dated 16.03.2017 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner was issued a charge sheet dated 16.03.2017, which was received by him much later than its date of issuance. The petitioner submitted reply to the aforementioned charge sheet on 25.10.2017 and thereafter the departmental enquiry was initiated. He participated in the enquiry proceedings. As per Regulation 8 of the Punishment and Appeal Regulations, 1990, there was no need to initiate departmental proceedings and only minor penalty could have been imposed. Since no decision has been taken on the charge sheet so far, petitioner has been compelled to approach this Court.

Without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to take a decision on the charge-sheet dated 16.03.2017

(Annexure P-1) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

It is made clear that in case the decision is not taken within two months, there shall be costs of ₹ 25,000/- to be paid to the petitioner.

September 23, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No