

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40873 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: October 23, 2019

Reena Rana @ Padma and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners, in FIR No.270 dated 27.05.2019, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, Haryana.

On 23.09.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that the present case has been registered as a counter-blast to the earlier FIR No.409 dated 27.07.2018, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar.

Notice of motion for 23.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of their arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit

them to interim bail till the next date of hearing on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioners are also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Paramveer Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 23.09.2019, the petitioners have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 23.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioners shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 23, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No