

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

231)

Crl. Misc. No.M-41546 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.12.2019

Rahul

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Namit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Very strangely, though as per the custody filed in court today by the learned State counsel, the petitioner is not shown to be admitted to bail, in another FIR registered against him alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 302 and 307 of the IPC, learned counsel has produced in court an order passed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Panipat, dated 03.08.2019, admitting him to bail in that case.

Be that as it may, looking at the antecedents of the petitioner, who also has other criminal cases registered against him, I would not be inclined to admit him to bail in this case.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed at this stage. However, the trial court is directed to ensure that the trial is completed within a period of 4 months from today, with the Superintendent of Police, Panipat, directed to ensure that all the prosecution witnesses positively appear to testify before that court as and when summoned, all of whom are seen to be police officials.

If such police officials do not appear even on summoning, the trial court would summon the Superintendent of Police himself.

In case there is any genuine reason for the trial not to be concluded within the aforesaid period, the trial court would file a proper application before this court for extension of time, giving reasons thereof.

06.12.2019
vcgarg/nitin

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No