

202-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 40628 of 2019
Decided on: 19.10.2019

Jagsir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Ms. Jaswinder Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.115 dated 20.08.2019, registered under Section 22 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Bhikhi, District Mansa.

The operative part of the order dated 23.09.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that both petitioner Jagga Singh @ Jagsir Singh s/o Pammi Singh and petitioner Jagsir Singh s/o Chotta Singh are not involved in any other case either under the NDPS Act or under the provisions of Indian Penal Code and as per the allegations in the FIR, petitioner Jagga Singh @ Jagsir Singh ran away from the car, whereas petitioner Jagsir Singh was not named in the FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submit that later on the petitioners have been nominated on the disclosure of co-accused Shiv Kumar and they are neither

owner nor the driver of the car.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submit that two persons were arrested at the spot and the recovery has already been effected.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Deep Singh, could not dispute the factual position that the petitioners are not involved in any other case....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 23.09.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 23.09.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

19.10.2019
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No