

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.27260 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision.03.10.2019

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Present: Ms. Sharmila Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the writ petition is for directing respondents to adjust the petitioner under outsource policy Part-II instead of Part I and also not to substitute the petitioner with another set of contractual employees.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director General Higher Education, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula to consider the writ petition of petitioner as representation and decide the same within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Director General Higher Education, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula to consider the writ petition of petitioner as representation and decide the same within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order thereon, failing which respondent No.2 shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to the petitioner. This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner to run from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

October 03, 2019

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No