

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40504 of 2019

Date of Decision: 10.12.2019

Parveen Malik and others

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Though, by this petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR on the basis of a compromise stated to have been reached between them and the complainant in the FIR, i.e. respondent no.2 herein, with a copy of the compromise deed also having been annexed with the petition as Annexure P-2, however, as already recorded in the order dated November 07, 2019, as regards petitioner no.1, Parveen Malik, he being the one who was stated to have fired at the complainant and injured him with gun shot wounds, learned counsel had not pressed the petition qua him, but had submitted that the others not having been attributed any injury on the person of the complainant, the FIR could be quashed qua them.

Today, learned State counsel has filed in court an affidavit of Shri Rahul Dev, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Jhajjar, in which it is stated that all the petitioners are involved in the offence and therefore the petition may be

dismissed.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

December 10, 2019  
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)  
JUDGE

|                           |     |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes |
| Whether Reportable        | No. |