

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(134)

CWP-27054-2019

Date of Decision: September 23, 2019

Kewal Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was granted the benefit of increment of Rs.220/- as on 01.03.2005 whereas, as per the instructions dated 08.01.1998 (Annexure P-5), which has been issued by the respondents, at the basic salary of Rs.10,300/- the increment of Rs.340/- is to be granted, which has been denied to the petitioner by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the respondents be directed to correctly fix the pay of the petitioner as on 01.03.2005 and grant the consequential benefit to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 02.08.2019 (Annexure P-9) to the respondents, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Counsel for the petitioner is unable to justify as to why, the petitioner has approached this Court after a period of more than five years.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 02.08.2019 (Annexure P-9), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 02.08.2019 (Annexure P-9), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

In case, the petitioner is found entitled for any benefit, the arrears will only be restricted from the date of filing of the representation.

September 23, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No