

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26907 of 2019

Date of Decision: September 23, 2019

Sunita Goswami

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if the present writ petition is treated as a representation and direction issued to the respondents to consider and decide the same within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to treat the same as a representation and decide the same within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

It is made clear that in case the representation is not decided within one month, there shall be costs of ₹ 25,000/- to be paid to the petitioner.

September 23, 2019

(AMIT RAWAL)

ramesh

JUDGE

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**