

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 20.09.2019

CWP No.26979 of 2019

Amit Kumar @ Dhakad

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. D.S.Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner at considerable length, I am of the opinion that the Divisional Commissioner, Karnal Division, Karnal has rightly observed in the impugned order that since the petitioner came back to jail on 12.07.2019 after availing parole for agriculture purpose, he is not entitled for furlough to meet his family.

Consequently, no interference is called for in the present petition and the same is ordered to stand dismissed.

However, this will not preclude the petitioner for applying for parole on any other permissible ground in future.

20.09.2019
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No