

(139) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.33553 of 2019

Date of decision: 19.11.2019

Sunita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and anr.

... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has submitted representation, Annexure P-8 dated 10.10.2018 followed by reminder Annexure P-12, dated 05.09.2019 in respect of the grievances which are the subject matter of the instant writ petition but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if at this stage the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representations, Annexure P-8 dated 10.10.2018 and Annexure P-12, dated 05.09.2019 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

[2] In view of the innocuous nature of prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representations, Annexure P-8 dated 10.10.2018 and Annexure P-12, dated 05.09.2019 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

19.11.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |