

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41109 of 2019

Date of Decision: 29.10.2019

Ravinder @ Munender

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.88 dated 10.04.2019 registered under Section 307, 341, 34, 427 & 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Industrial Sector-7, Manesar, District Gurugram.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that as per the allegations of the prosecution itself gun shot has been attributed to the co-accused of the petitioner on the left arm of the complainant, but there is no allegation that the petitioner has caused any injury in this case. Further contends that the petitioner is in custody since, 01.05.2019. Also contends that after investigation in the matter report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been submitted on 16.07.2019 and charges are yet to be framed in this case.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ajit Singh.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

Since the investigation is already over in the matter and there is no injury attributed to the petitioner and he is in custody since 01.05.2019, the trial is likely to take a long time to be concluded and as such no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more.

Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Petitioner-Ravinder @ Munender be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

However, it is made clear that above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merit of the case pending before learned trial Court.

October 29, 2019
rashmi

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no