

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40187-2019

Date of Decision: 17.10.2019

Vinod

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Today an affidavit of Sh. Dharambir Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Jind, has been filed in Court today by learned State counsel, which is taken on record. A copy thereof has been given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

It has been stated therein that as per the complaint leading to the registration of the FIR, the petitioner inflicted injuries with a sharp edged weapon on the complainant, and that an MLR in that respect has also been recorded; and as regards the CCTV footage in the CCTV camera stated to be fitted in the shop where the occurrence is stated to have taken place, the affidavit of the complainant is to the effect that the said camera was only “a dummy”, with it having no wiring etc. connecting it to any DVR etc.

Counsel for the complainant has produced in Court today a photograph showing the wound stated to have been inflicted on the person of the complainant, i.e. on the lower part of the left shoulder, which, *prima facie* at least, would not seem to be self inflicted, but with no comment actually made on the merits thereof by this Court, the aforesaid observation having been only made in the context of this petition seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

That being so, I see no reason to entertain this petition, which is consequently, dismissed.

However, it is to be observed that it is rather strange that whether or not the CCTV camera was in a working or a non-working condition, was not even attempted to be investigated by the police officials who should have visited the spot at the time when the complaint was registered. Therefore, the Superintendent of Police, Jind, is directed to initiate an inquiry as to why the so called investigating officer never undertook even a basic investigation with regard to the case.

For an affidavit of the Superintendent of Police, Jind, to be filed in Court, giving therein the result of the inquiry so conducted, this case file be put up on 28.11.2019.

A copy of this order be given to the learned State counsel.

October 17, 2019
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.