

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-27326-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:16.10.2019**

Tarnjit Singh	Versus	... Petitioner
Union of India & another		... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sushant Kareer, Advocate for
Mr. Harparteek S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for the respondents/UOI.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the instant writ petition is to the order dated 07.01.2019 (Annexure P-3) and in terms of which the Regional Passport Officer, Jalandhar has closed the passport application of the petitioner.

The precise case projected on behalf of the petitioner was that on 14.12.2018, a notice was issued to the petitioner seeking clarification within a period of 30 days as regards the adverse police verification report and the impugned order has been passed even prior to the lapse of 30 days time frame.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate representing respondents No.1 and 2 has apprised the Court that after issuance of notice of motion in the instant writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed inasmuch as the passport has been issued to him.

Counsel representing the petitioner does not dispute such factual premise.

In view of the above, writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

16.10.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |