

(133) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 14.11.2019

1. CWP No.27068 of 2019

Akshay Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

2. CWP No.27102 of 2019

Pushpa ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

3. CWP No.27144 of 2019

Annu ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

4. CWP No.27151 of 2019

Anita Rani ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

5. CWP No.29258 of 2019

Jasmer ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

6. CWP No.29332 of 2019

Manish Siwach	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

7. CWP No.32743 of 2019

Binder Siwach @ Bajinder Arya	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

8. CWP No.32773 of 2019

Anup Kumar	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

9. CWP No.32803 of 2019

Anita	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

10. CWP No.32829 of 2019

Suman Rani	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

11. CWP No.32854 of 2019

Parveen Kumar	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

[3]

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Goyat, Advocate for the petitioners in all cases.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] This order shall decide CWP Nos.27068, 27102, 27144, 27151, 29258, 29332, 32743, 32773, 32803, 32829 and 32854 of 2019 as the facts and point in issue involved are identical.

[2] Learned counsel contends that the land of the petitioners was acquired for setting up of 2800 Mega Watt Gorakhpur Atomic Power Project by the Nuclear Power Corporation of India Limited in village Gorakhpur and the Govt. of Haryana, Revenue and Disaster Management Department notified policy dated 9.11.2010 for rehabilitation and Resettlement of land owners/land acquisition oustees by providing job to persons whose land had been acquired more than 75% in the revenue estate subject to minimum of two acres and condition No.(iv) of para 11 of the aforementioned policy provides for grant of a job in the project for which the acquired land is utilized and in case of non-availability of adequate number of suitable jobs in the project, the claim is to be considered against vacancies available in said department failing which the claim is to be considered in other departments.

[3] Learned counsel states that the petitioners have filed representations Annexure P-7 dated 10.12.2018 in CWP Nos.27068, 27102, 27144, 27151, 32743 and 32829 of 2019 and representation Annexure P-6 dated 10.12.2018 in CWP Nos.29258, 32773 and 32854 of 2019 as well as representations Annexure P-6 dated 03.06.2019 in CWP Nos.29332 and

[4]

writ petitions but the same have not been decided till date and the petitioners would be satisfied if at this stage, the writ petitions are disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representations in accordance with the rules/regulations/policy applicable after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned as expeditiously as possible.

[4] Without commenting on the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, CWP Nos.27068, 27102, 27144, 27151, 29258, 29332, 32743, 32773, 32803, 32829 and 32854 of 2019 are disposed of by directing respondent No.2/competent authority to consider and decide the representations as referred to above by passing a speaking order in accordance with law after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

14.11.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

- | | | | |
|----|---------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. | Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |