

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26995-2019

Date of decision: 20.9.2019

Baljeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Deepak Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent No.4 to act in accordance with law on the report Annexure P-4, recommending action against respondent No.6, prepared after conducting thorough enquiry by respondent No.3 on the complaint made by the petitioner regarding misappropriation of panchayat funds.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 - Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib to consider and decide the representation dated 25.1.2019 (Annexure P-6 (colly.) in pursuance of the report Annexure P-4 expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikas

Mohan Gupta, Addl.AG, Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 - Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib to consider and decide the representation dated 25.1.2019 (Annexure P-6 (colly.)) in pursuance of the report Annexure P-4 in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment and also to ensure that all necessary steps in pursuance of report Annexure P4 are taken. Respondent No.2 is further directed to accord finality to the enquiry proceedings within three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

20.9.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No