

105.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**I.**

**CRM-M-42169-2019**

Date of decision: 05.12.2019.

AMIT PARKASH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.... Respondents

**II.**

**CRM-M-35902-2019**

CHANDER PARKASH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

----

Present: Dr. A.P. Singh, Advocate, and  
Mr. V.P. Singh, Ms. Pratima Rani,  
Ms. Richa Singh, Ms. Geeta Chauhan, Advocates,  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-42169-2019.

Mr. Alok Jain, Advocate, and  
Mr. Amod Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-35902-2019.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

----

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in both the petitions filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is  
for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner (s) in case FIR No.87 dated  
03.07.2019 registered under Sections 323/34/377/406/498-A/509 of IPC at  
Police Station Women West, Gurugram.

**CRM-M-42169-2019** has been filed by the husband of respondent No.2, whereas **CRM-M-35902-2019** is by brother-in-law (*jeth*) of respondent No.2.

In both the cases, while issuing notice of motion, arrest of the respective petitioners was stayed (vide order dated 01.10.2019 in CRM-M-42169-2019 and vide order dated 30.08.2019 in CRM-M-35902-2019).

Parties are present in the Court. They have been interacted at length and they have amicably decided that the complainant will join the petitioner in her matrimonial house situated at Paschim Vihar, New Delhi.

The complainant states that since there is one girl child from the wedlock, let the petitioner should extend all possible help so that her future is secured.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner (in CRM-M-42169-2019), on instructions from Amit Parkash, who is present in Court, has assured that he will extend all possible help in securing the future of their daughter and the other help which the family will require.

Heard.

Since the parties have decided to stay together in the matrimonial home at Paschim Vihar, New Delhi, the order dated 01.10.2019 passed in CRM-M-42169-2019 and the order dated 30.08.2019 passed in CRM-M-35902-2019 whereby arrest of the petitioner was stayed, are made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petitions are allowed.

However, in case the presence of the petitioners is required in any manner by the police, a clear 15 days notice shall be given to them.

The petitioner-Amit Parkash is directed to visit the house of the complainant at Sector 7, Gurugram so that she can accompany him to her matrimonial home at Paschim Vihar. As regards the other matters pending between the parties, they may approach the concerned Mediation Centre for settlement/disposal.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

05.12.2019  
sanjeev

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |