

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 26646 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.12.2019**

Tarsem Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate and
Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibbar Sharma, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

ARUN MONGA, J(ORAL)

1. Annexures R-1 and R-2 have been tendered in Court during course of hearing, which are taken on record.

2. Learned State counsel submits that perusal of said orders, Annexures R-1 and R-2, it reflects that an enquiry was conducted at the instance of the State Government against the officials of respondent-Panchayat Samiti in as much as not only confirmation of the petitioner after the probation, but even the subsequent regularization of his services was found to be illegal. He contends that pursuant to the indictment of the petitioner in the enquiry report, regularization of services accorded to the petitioner has been rightly cancelled vide order dated 06.12.2019(Annexure R-2).

3. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to challenge the enquiry report (Annexure R-1) as well as order dated 06.12.2019 (Annexure R-2) cancelling regularization of his services. However, it is made clear that for the period petitioner has rendered service and work has

been extracted from him, he shall be entitled to salary in accordance with law.

Accordingly, competent authority is directed to disburse the salary to the petitioner within 30 days from today.

Disposed of with liberty, as aforesaid.

09.12.2019

Jiten

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/ reasoned: | Yes/ No |
| 2. | Whether reportable: | Yes/ No |