

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39944-2019

Date of Decision: 24.09.2019

Mukesh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas Gulia, Advocate, for
Mr. Rajender Singh Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, A.A.G, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner points to the fact that the FIR not having named any person, the petitioner is stated to have been named as the principal accused by the brother of the deceased, i.e. by Omkar, who has already testified before the trial Court on 24.04.2019, and in the cross-examination, has denied that the petitioner had murdered the deceased.

The aforesaid factual position is also not denied by learned State counsel.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, he also having been in custody for the past more than one year, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

September 24, 2019

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes

No.