

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-26527-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Suman & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. S.K. Katik, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Petitioners seek a Writ of Mandamus for directing the official respondents to protect their life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of respondents no.4 to 6.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length.

As per pleadings on record, petitioner no.1 was married to respondent no.6 namely Dinesh in the year 2012. Out of the wedlock one male child was born.

As per counsel, a Panchayati compromise/divorce stands effected between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.6 and pursuant thereto petitioner no.1 having left the matrimonial home is now in a live-in relationship with petitioner no.2 namely Amit.

The minor child has been left to be taken care by the biological father i.e. respondent no.6.

This Court under no circumstances can approve of such relationship and liaison between petitioners.

That apart, the pleadings on record are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners. On the one hand reliance is placed upon a Panchayat

compromise/divorce stated to have been effected between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.6 and on the other hand a threat from respondent no.6 himself has been voiced in the petition.

The stand taken in the petition does not inspire any confidence.

Petition is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

18.09.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No