

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

FAO 3484 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.08.2019

Vikas Hasija and others

.....Appellants

Versus

Sachin Raina and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate
for the appellants

None for the respondent/insurance company

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

The claimants are in appeal seeking enhancement of compensation on account of death of Anita Hasija in a motor vehicular accident that took place on 28.11.2016.

The Tribunal awarded Rs.16,21,000/-, detailed hereunder:-

-Value of services of deceased	Rs.8,000/-
-Multiplier	16
-Loss of dependency	Rs.15,36,000/-
-Loss of consortium	Rs.40,000/-
-Funeral expenses	Rs.15,000/-
-Loss to estate	Rs.30,000/-

I have gone through findings of Tribunal in para 26 of the award but find myself unable to accept contention of claimants for awarding additional compensation. On the contrary, the Tribunal has awarded

Rs.30,000/- for loss of estate despite noticing that income of deceased has been assessed by treating her as a house maker. In the given circumstances, there is no justification for allowing additional compensation.

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, finding no merit, the appeal fails and is accordingly dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

26.08.2019
Mohan Bimbura

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No