

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39964-2019

Date of Decision: 24.09.2019

Sanjay

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, A.A.G, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the alleged victims and eye witnesses not having identified the petitioner during their testimony, with the FIR also registered after 06 days on the basis of a whatsapp conversation, and with the petitioner having been in custody since March 21, 2019, he deserves the concession of bail.

Learned counsel for the State does not deny the aforesaid factual position and upon query, he, on instructions from the police official who is present in Court today to assist him, submits that out of 18 prosecution witnesses, only 05 have been examined so far.

The age of the petitioner is shown to be 19 years and no other criminal case is also shown to be registered against him. Therefore, seeing also the fact that the trial is likely to take long as yet, without making any comment on the merits of the case for or against the petitioner, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

September 24, 2019

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes

No.