

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2017.10.03 08:45
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP-28119-2019

Date of Decision: 30.09.2019

Rajni Bhalla

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and Others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Impugned herein is an order dated 12.07.2019 (Annexure P-9).

2. Contents of the writ petition have been perused.
3. Transfer being matter of administrative exigencies, this Court generally interferes, if at all, very cautiously. Transfer is not a punishment but an essential aspect of service, particularly, on a transferable post.
4. Perusal of transfer order reveals that an inquiry was conducted prior to passing of the same, wherein the petitioner was held guilty of tampering of the attendance register and, therefore, as a punitive measure, has been transferred.
5. In my opinion, in the present case, the impugned order dated 12.07.2019 (Annexure P-9) does not call for any interference from this Court in exercise of its extraordinary writ jurisdiction vested under Article 226 of Constitution of India.
6. Petition is, accordingly, dismissed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to challenge the inquiry report, if so advised.

30.09.2019

smriti

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No