

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.2117 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision:23.10.2019**

Shanti Devi

....Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Shailendra Jain, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vikrant Rana, Advocate,
Ms. Anupama Arigala, Advocate,
Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate,
Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate,
Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate,
Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
Mr. Chirag Kundu, Advocate,
Mr. Nitika Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Aashish Chopra, Advocate,
Mr. Ashok Tyagi, Advocate,
Ms. Sunita Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate,
Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate,
Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate,
Mr. R.A. Yadav, Advocate
for the landowners.

Mr. Sudeep Mahajan, Addl. AG, Haryana.
Ms. Vibha Tiwari, AAG, Haryana.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J.

The appeal filed by the landowners is allowed in terms of the detailed judgment of even date passed in RFA No.7185 of 2013, titled as “***Subhash Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others***”.

However, in view of earlier order dated 01.04.2016, the appellant(s) shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay of 446 days.

23.10.2019

Parveen

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No