

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-26526-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Vikas Balana

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Petitioner seeks a Mandamus for directing the official respondents not to harass him in any manner pursuant to a complaint having been lodged at the hands of respondents no.4 and 5.

Counsel has been heard and case paper book has been perused.

Averments made in the petition would bring forth that respondents no.4 and 5 are none other than the parents of the petitioner. Counsel would assert that petitioner is in fact the adopted son of respondents no.4 and 5.

The averments made in the petition do not bring forth in categorical terms as regards the petitioner being harassed and the official respondents interfering in his personal life and liberty.

On a specific query having been put, counsel concedes that as of date even FIR has not yet been registered against the petitioner.

The apprehension voiced in the instant petition as of date is totally unfounded.

Petition is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

18.09.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No