

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6274 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision:-22.10.2019

Ram Dayal

.....Petitioner

vs.

Ram Kishan and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRIPresent:- Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.**RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)**

The petitioner has come up in revision against the order dated 28.08.2019 (Annexure P-6) whereby an application for demarcation has been allowed.

A perusal of the order dated 28.08.2019 (Annexure P-6) shows that pursuant to the judgment and decree dated 27.01.1998 the execution is being carried out. The plaintiff/decreed holder is entitled to one marla of land which is towards western part of khasra No.1578/1 and the land measuring five marlas has been given to Ram Nath etc. which is the part of khasra No. 1578/2. Since the judgment was passed wayback in the year 1998, the executing court has rightly appointed Halqa Kanungo as local commissioner for demarcation of the property of khasra No. 1578/1 and 1578/2 by establishing pucca point.

The argument of learned counsel for the petitioner is that if the decree was passed in 1998, no fresh demarcation is required.

This argument is liable to be rejected keeping in view that after 1998 almost 20 years have gone by and the petitioner is also to be given 5 marlas of land and proper demarcation is necessary for this purpose.

Hence, no case to interfere in the order dated 28.08.2019
(Annexure P-6) is made out.

Dismissed. Pending application also stands dismissed.

22.10.2019
Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No