

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39836-2019

Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Amit Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

In respect of his grievance, the petitioner is shown to have made a representation to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat, on 14.05.2019, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition, with the said representation shown to have been marked for inquiry to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Panipat.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat, (respondent no. 2 herein), to hear the petitioner and to take action as may be warranted, as per law, within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the Superintendent of Police finds that there is no truth in the allegations made by the petitioner, he would pass an order giving reasons as to why the allegations are incorrect.

September 18, 2019

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes
No.