

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5852 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Sarv Hitkari Sabha Shri Ram Lila Committee Machhiwara Sahib
-Petitioner

Vs

Naresh Khera and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that even though *ad interim* injunction under Order 39 Rule 3 CPC is not granted by way of specific order, still the trial Court is under legal obligation to decide the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC on some early actual date.

The application was filed along with the suit in January, 2019 and now the same stands adjourned to 26.09.2019.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of issuing any notice to the respondents as it would further delay in disposal of present revision petition and no prejudice is going to be caused to the respondents.

Taking note of Order 17 Rule 1 and Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC, I deem it appropriate to direct the trial Court to decide the pending application either on the date fixed in accordance with law or two weeks thereafter.

Disposed of.

18.09.2019
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No