

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40105 of 2019

Date of Decision: September 24, 2019.

Tarsem Singh @ Sema

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL.**

\*\*\*

Present: Mr.Tajinder Pal Singh Makkar, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr.Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

-.-

**Anil Kshetarpal, J.** (Oral)

Petitioner-Tarsem Singh @ Sema prays for regular bail in FIR No.170 dated 26.12.2018, registered under Sections 307, 506, 34 IPC and 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Nahianwala, District Bathinda.

As per FIR, the petitioner had given firearm injury to the injured Balwinder Singh. However, when Balwinder Singh appeared in evidence, he has stated that fire shot injury was given by Sukhmander Singh @ Gogi. Balwinder Singh also goes on to say that neither the accused-Tarsem Singh was present at the time of occurrence nor he fired the shot upon him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 29.12.2018. Investigations are complete. Final report has been submitted and charges have been framed. The only one prosecution witness has been examined and application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. has been filed.

Keeping in view the facts of the present case and without

commenting upon the merits of the case and after noticing that the petitioner is not involved in any other case, it would be appropriate to direct the petitioner to be released on regular bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/ Duty Magistrate.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed with the aforesaid directions.

September 24, 2019

*mohinder*

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |