

368

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2161 of 2011
Date of Decision: 25.11.2019**

Naranjan Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Harpreet Kaur

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The contempt petition was filed pleading that respondent-wife had backed out from her earlier statement dated 24.12.2010 made before the District Judge, Jalandhar. The grievance was that she had received ₹10 lakhs, thereafter refused to give statement for second motion under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

None has put in appearance for the petitioner. Even on the last date, petitioner was not represented.

Learned counsel for the respondent-wife submits that matter is compromised and divorce by mutual consent is granted.

In view of statement made, the contempt petition is disposed of.

However, petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the case, in case statement made by learned counsel for the respondent is found factually incorrect.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

November 25, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |