

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**XOBJR-98-CI-2017 (O&M) in/and
RFA No.10735 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision:01.11.2019**

Haryana State and another

....Appellant(s)

Versus

Devina Gahlot (minor) through her father and natural guardian Kailash Gahlot

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Sudeep Mahajan, Addl. AG, Haryana
Ms. Vibha Tiwari, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.P. Ahlawat, Advocate,
Mr. N.D. Achint, Advocate,
Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate,
Mr. Varun Baanth, Advocate,
Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate,
Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate
for the landowners/cross-objectors.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J.

The appeal filed by the State is dismissed and cross-objection filed by the landowners is allowed in terms of the detailed judgment of even date passed in RFA No.4775 of 2014 titled as “**Tek Ram and another Vs. State of Haryana and another**”.

However, in view of earlier order dated 23.04.2018, the landowners shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay of 924 days.

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

01.11.2019

Parveen

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No