

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

121

CRM-M-40454 of 2019

Date of decision:20.9.2019

Harkirat Singh Bedi

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

In respect of his grievance, the petitioner is stated to have made a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, i.e. respondent no.2, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-4 with the petition.

Consequently, without making any comment whatsoever on the actual merits of the case of the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with a direction that the SSP, Sangrur, would hear the petitioner and take action as may be warranted as per law, if the grievance of the petitioner is found to be genuine, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

The allegation that respondent no.4 who is shown to be a Sub Inspector in the police and is a friend of respondent no.5, would also be gone into by the SSP, to try and determine as to whether any such friendship exists, and if so, whether it has resulted in any undue advantage being taken or not.

It is again made clear that this Court has not made any comment whatsoever in favour of the petitioner, or against him.

20.9.2019
pk/Dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No