

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-26085-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:16.09.2019**

Suman Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is stated to be a lady, aged 36 years. She is married to respondent No.4.

Allegations have been raised in the petition that respondent No.4 has been maltreated the petitioner and to the extent that even though petitioner is desirous for filing a divorce petition but she is being prevented from doing so.

Against the afore noticed backdrop, writ of mandamus is sought for directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 8.

Averments made in the petition are utterly vague. On the one hand, it is being asserted that the petitioner is not being permitted to leave the premises in question so as to file a divorce petition but on the other hand, she has approached this Court for purposes of filing the instant writ petition.

On a specific query having been put, counsel himself concedes that the petitioner is as of date not staying in her matrimonial home.

Pleadings on record are insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

No intervention in the matter is called for.

Petition is dismissed.

16.09.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |