

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27617-2019

Date of decision: 27.11.2019

Pohap Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Surinder Dagar, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 4 to take appropriate legal action against respondent Nos. 5 to 9, who have caused financial loss to the Gram Panchayat and have constructed the passage and boundary wall of stadium and cowshed by using the poor quality as well as less material, which has been proved in the enquiry report dated 14.11.2018 (Annexure P-2) conducted by State Vigilance Bureau.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 - Director General, Development and Panchayats, Haryana to consider and decide the application dated 30.5.2019 (Annexure P-4) in view of the enquiry report dated 14.11.2018 (Annexure P-2) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to

Mr.Ayuwan Singh, AAG, Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 - Director General, Development and Panchayats, Haryana to consider and decide the application dated 30.5.2019 (Annexure P-4) without being influenced by the enquiry report dated 14.11.2018 (Annexure P-2) submitted by Director General, State Vigilance Bureau, Haryana in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority notices any irregularities, the remedial measures be taken in the matter, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

27.11.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No